

# Parallel Performance Analysis using the Scalasca/Score-P/CUBE toolset on ARCHER2

Christian Feld, Brian Wylie Jülich Supercomputing Centre

# epcc

arm

JÜLICH

Lawrence Livermore

lational Laboratory

ONLINE@EPCC, 9+10 May 2022

ECHNISCHI

INIVERSITAT

ARMSTADT

IVERSITA

Universitä

niz Supercomputing Centra



Universität Stuttgart

NIVERSITY OF OREGON

# Outline

#### Day 1: (Monday 9 May)

- Introduction to parallel performance engineering
- Instrumentation & measurement with Score-P
- Execution profile analysis examination with CUBE
- Analysis refinement via scoring & measurement filtering

#### Day 2: (Tuesday 10 May)

- Automated trace collection & analysis with Scalasca
- Score-P specialized measurements & analyses
- Profiling/tracing case studies

#### Morning sessions (09:30-12:30 BST):

 Presentation / demonstration of tools using hands-on example with Archer2

#### Afternoon sessions (13:30-16:30 BST):

 Guided assistance to apply tools to your own application code(s) or provided examples



## Introduction to Parallel Performance Engineering

Brian Wylie Jülich Supercomputing Centre

#### (with content used with permission from tutorials by Bernd Mohr/JSC and Luiz DeRose/Cray)



VIRTUAL INSTITUTE – HIGH PRODUCTIVITY SUPERCOMPUTING

#### **Performance: an old problem**

# Difference Figure 1

**Difference Engine** 

"The most constant difficulty in contriving the engine has arisen from the desire to reduce the time in which the calculations were executed to the shortest which is possible."

> Charles Babbage 1791 – 1871

VICTOR COMPUTING

#### Today: the "free lunch" is over



## **Performance factors of parallel applications**

#### Sequential performance factors

- Computation
  - Choose right algorithm, use optimizing compiler
- Cache and memory
  - Tough! Only limited tool support, hope compiler gets it right
- Input / output
  - Often not given enough attention
- Parallel" performance factors
  - Partitioning / decomposition
  - Communication (i.e., message passing)
  - Multithreading
  - Synchronization / locking
    - More or less understood, good tool support

#### ARCHER2 training (archive/online):

- ARCHER2 for software developers
- Effective use of the HPE Cray EX supercomputer ARCHER2
- Performance optimisation on AMD EPYC
- Efficient parallel I/O

# **Tuning basics**

- Successful engineering is a combination of
  - Careful setting of various tuning parameters
  - The right algorithms and libraries
  - Compiler flags and directives
  - ...
  - Thinking !!!
- Measurement is better than guessing
  - To determine performance bottlenecks
  - To compare alternatives
  - To validate tuning decisions and optimizations
    - After each step!
- Modeling is extremely useful but very difficult and rarely available
  - Allows to evaluate performance impact of optimization without implementing it
  - Simplifies search in large parameter space

#### **Performance engineering workflow**



# The 80/20 rule

- Programs typically spend 80% of their time in 20% of the code
- Programmers typically spend 20% of their effort to get 80% of the total speedup possible for the application
  - ► → Know when to stop!
- Don't optimize what does not matter
  - → Make the common case fast!

"If you optimize everything, you will always be unhappy."

Donald E. Knuth

#### **Metrics of performance**

#### • What can be measured?

- A count of how often an event occurs
  - E.g., the number of MPI point-to-point messages sent
- The duration of some interval
  - E.g., the time spent these send calls
- The size of some parameter
  - E.g., the number of bytes transmitted by these calls
- Derived metrics
  - E.g., rates / throughput
  - Needed for normalization

#### **Example metrics**

- Execution time
- Number of function calls
- CPI
  - CPU cycles per instruction
- FLOPS
  - Floating-point operations executed per second



## **Execution time**

- Wall-clock time
  - Includes waiting time: I/O, memory, other system activities
  - In time-sharing environments also the time consumed by other applications
- CPU time
  - Time spent by the CPU to execute the application
  - Does not include time the program was context-switched out
    - Problem: Does not include inherent waiting time (e.g., I/O)
    - Problem: Portability? What is user, what is system time?
- Problem: Execution time is non-deterministic
  - Use mean or minimum of several runs

#### **Inclusive vs. Exclusive values**

- Inclusive
  - Information of all sub-elements aggregated into single value
- Exclusive
  - Information cannot be subdivided further



#### **Classification of measurement techniques**

- How are performance measurements triggered?
  - Sampling
  - Code instrumentation
- How is performance data recorded?
  - Profiling / Runtime summarization
  - Tracing
- How is performance data analyzed?
  - Online
  - Post mortem

# Sampling



#### Instrumentation



PARALLEL PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS USING SCALASCA (EPCC, 9+10 MAY 2022) - ONLINE

Large relative overheads for small functions

Leave ("foo") ;

#### **Instrumentation techniques**

- Static instrumentation
  - Program is instrumented prior to execution
- Dynamic instrumentation
  - Program is instrumented at runtime

#### Code is inserted

- Manually
- Automatically
  - By a preprocessor / source-to-source translation tool
  - By a compiler
  - By linking against a pre-instrumented library / runtime system
  - By binary-rewrite / dynamic instrumentation tool

# **Critical issues**

#### Accuracy

- Intrusion overhead
  - Measurement itself needs time and thus lowers performance
- Perturbation
  - Measurement alters program behaviour
  - E.g., memory access pattern
- Accuracy of timers & counters
- Granularity
  - How many measurements?
  - How much information / processing during each measurement?

#### Tradeoff: Accuracy vs. Expressiveness of data

#### **Classification of measurement techniques**

- How are performance measurements triggered?
  - Sampling
  - Code instrumentation
- How is performance data recorded?
  - Profiling / Runtime summarization
  - Tracing
- How is performance data analyzed?
  - Online
  - Post mortem

# **Profiling / Runtime summarization**

- Recording of aggregated information
  - Total, maximum, minimum, ...
- For measurements
  - Time
  - Counts
    - Function calls
    - Bytes transferred
    - Hardware counters
- Over program and system entities
  - Functions, call sites, basic blocks, loops, ...
  - Processes, threads

#### Profile = summarization of events over execution interval

# **Types of profiles**

- Flat profile
  - Shows distribution of metrics per routine / instrumented region
  - Calling context is not taken into account
- Call-path profile
  - Shows distribution of metrics per executed call path
  - Sometimes only distinguished by partial calling context (e.g., two levels)
- Special-purpose profiles
  - Focus on specific aspects, e.g., MPI calls or OpenMP constructs
  - Comparing processes/threads

# Tracing

- Recording detailed information about significant points (events) during execution of the program
  - Enter / leave of a region (function, loop, ...)
  - Send / receive a message, ...
- Save information in event record
  - Timestamp, location, event type
  - Plus event-specific information (e.g., communicator, sender / receiver, ...)
- Abstract execution model on level of defined events
- Event trace = Chronologically ordered sequence of event records

VI-HPS



#### **Tracing Pros & Cons**

- Tracing advantages
  - Event traces preserve the temporal and spatial relationships among individual events (@ context)
  - Allows reconstruction of dynamic application behaviour on any required level of abstraction
  - Most general measurement technique
    - Profile data can be reconstructed from event traces
- Disadvantages
  - Traces can very quickly become extremely large
  - Writing events to file at runtime may causes perturbation

#### **Classification of measurement techniques**

- How are performance measurements triggered?
  - Sampling
  - Code instrumentation
- How is performance data recorded?
  - Profiling / Runtime summarization
  - Tracing
- How is performance data analyzed?
  - Online
  - Post mortem

## **Online analysis**

- Performance data is processed during measurement run
  - Process-local profile aggregation
  - Requires formalized knowledge about performance bottlenecks
  - More sophisticated inter-process analysis using
    - "Piggyback" messages
    - Hierarchical network of analysis agents
- Online analysis often involves application steering to interrupt and re-configure the measurement

#### **Post-mortem analysis**

- Performance data is stored at end of measurement run
- Data analysis is performed afterwards
  - Automatic search for bottlenecks
  - Visual trace analysis
  - Calculation of statistics

#### **Example: Time-line visualization**



WIRTUAL INSTITUTE - HIGH PRODUCTIVITY SUPERCOMPUTING

#### No single solution is sufficient!



A combination of different methods, tools and techniques is typically needed!

- Analysis
  - Statistics, visualization, automatic analysis, data mining, ...
- Measurement
  - Sampling / instrumentation, profiling / tracing, ...
- Instrumentation
  - Source code / binary, manual / automatic, …

#### **Typical performance analysis procedure**

- Do I have a performance problem at all?
  - Time / speedup / scalability measurements
- What is the key bottleneck (computation / communication)?
  - MPI / OpenMP / flat profiling
- Where is the key bottleneck?
  - Call-path profiling, detailed basic block profiling
- Why is it there?
  - Hardware counter analysis, trace selected parts to keep trace size manageable
- Does the code have scalability problems?
  - Load imbalance analysis, compare profiles at various sizes function-by-function